

# IOWA

Institute for Public Health  
Practice, Research and Policy

# Disaggregate It!

Understanding the health status of your  
population

2025



# Meet Your Training Team



**Anjali Deshpande, PhD, MPH,** Clinical Associate Professor, University of Iowa, College of Public Health



**Vickie Miene, MS, MA, LMHC,** Executive Director, Institute for Public Health Practice, Research and Policy



**Abigail Stock, MPH,** Admin Services Specialist, Institute for Public Health Practice, Research and Policy

**Training provided in partnership with the Institute for Public Health Practice, Research and Policy through a contract from the Iowa Department of Health and Human Services**



# Data Training Opportunities


Data Basics

Tackling Data


Visualize This

Disaggregate It

Check out our website  
to see upcoming  
training dates!



# Menti.com



# Disaggregate It! Resources



## Training Resources




### BRFSS Prevalence and Trends Data (CDC)

Follow this link to look at colorectal cancer screening trends for the Disaggregate It! training. To view the colorectal cancer screening data, make sure Iowa is the selected location, and then choose "colorectal cancer screening" from the "Class" drop down list and "USPSTF Recommendations" from the "Topic" drop down list.




Learning Objectives – by the end of this session, participants will be able to:


- Understand why data disaggregation is important to public health practice
- Explain how bias can impact the collection, use, analysis, interpretation, and communication of public health data
- Identify key data sources and methods for data disaggregation in public health



# Creating a Conceptual Framework for Early Onset CRC



<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41568-021-00356-y>



# What is Data Disaggregation and Why is it Important to Public Health?

- “Disaggregated data refers to the separation of compiled information into smaller units to elucidate underlying trends and patterns.” (PAHO Understanding Data Disaggregation in Public Health Toolkit 2020)

## Using an Approach of “Targeted Universalism”


Achieving a goal of good health for all people in a way that is targeted/tailored to the specific challenges of population groups.



# THE 10 ESSENTIAL PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES

*To protect and promote the health of all people in all communities*

The 10 Essential Public Health Services provide a framework for public health to protect and promote the health of all people in all communities. To achieve optimal health for all, the Essential Public Health Services actively promote policies, systems, and services that enable good health and seek to remove obstacles and systemic and structural barriers, such as poverty, racism, gender discrimination, and other forms of oppression, that have resulted in health inequities. Everyone should have a fair and just opportunity to achieve good health and well-being.



Created 2020


We may have goals related to DESCRIBING what is happening and/or IDENTIFYING differences and/or MONITORING disparities.

# What is a Health Equity Data Analysis?

---

- A health equity data analysis (HEDA) is a process that uses data to identify and examine health differences between populations, and the causes of those differences.

HEDA: Conducting a Health Equity Data Analysis: A guide for local health departments in Minnesota, version 2.1 (2022)





# Data to Advance Health Equity

## Conducting a Health Equity Data Analysis

---


<https://www.health.state.mn.us/data/mchs/genstats/heda/index.html>

STEP	Definition	Data Sources
Connection	Expand your understanding of the multiple determinants of health	Existing scientific literature and research
Population	Description of community and identification of populations that may experience health inequities	Census, local survey, vital statistics
Differences	Description of health differences between population groups	Health surveys, vital statistics, other health surveillance systems, program data
(Re)Connection	Understanding the connections between social and economic factors and health	Existing scientific literature and research
Conditions	Description of the living conditions that create the health differences between population groups	Qualitative data such as focus group findings
Causes	Description of what causes differences in living conditions - policies, systems, structures	Qualitative data such as document reviews or policy analysis

# Challenges in doing a Health Equity Data Analysis


---

- Important to do a complete needs assessment to really know your population (quant and qual)
- Social and economic factors are difficult to measure
- Data may not be available for population sub-groups
- Data may not be available at the geographic level of interest
- Relevant data may be collected outside your organization (do you have access?)




# What is error/bias in public health? Why is this important?

- Sampling/random error – we think of this as sampling variability
  - Small samples lead to imprecise estimates. Therefore, any observed small differences based on small samples are more likely to be due to random error and not true differences.
- Systematic error/bias—we think of this as external threats to the validity of the study



# How our perceptions about health can influence how we collect, analyze, interpret, and communicate data.

- Each of us has our own “view” of the world based on our experiences, our interactions, what we read, who we talk to, what we watch on TV etc. This can lead us to think about data through a certain lens or perspective.
- When people with different perspectives come together to make decisions across the whole data continuum we can generate a clearer picture of the health status of a community.
- Provide information in ways that tap into and expand people’s view (understand the current mental models and tap into those to educate people on health outcomes and risks).



# Invisibility



NURSE


Know the difference

Warning signs differ between men and women.

TODAY'S WORD OF THE DAY WAS SELECTED BY NASA

**astronaut**

noun [ as-truh-nawt, -not ]




Women symptoms include chest discomfort which radiates up to the throat, jaw and through to the back and shoulder blades, extreme fatigue, shortness of breath, lightheadedness and nausea.



# How does implicit bias affect the data?

---

- Lack of bias awareness leads to poor evaluation of the language you use in your research question, what data you collect, who you collect data from, and how you interpret data findings



<https://www.forsmarshgroup.com/knowledge/news-blog/posts/2020/february/a-researcher-s-tips-for-combating-implicit-bias/>




# Key groups to consider – what biases might they have?

---

Stakeholders

Priority  
population

Target  
Audience



# Stakeholders

---

- Could be people who are directly impacted by the health issue (priority population)
- People who have expertise in the issue of interest
- Cross-sector partners
- People who will make decisions about what to do (target audience)

Think about what biases your stakeholders might possess




# Target Audience

## Know your audience

- Identify the primary audience, the more you know about them, the better
- Could be policymakers, experts in a specific field, advocates for specific groups, health care providers, local media

Your audience will influence your decisions about how you display your data, emphasis, organization and tone



# Priority population

What population(s) is most impacted by the health issue?

## May be defined by:

- Demographic factors like age, sex, race/ethnicity, income, education, health care access
- Geography - county/city/state/country
- Setting - school, church, workplace etc

Think: who, what, where, when?

Are there other characteristics of the priority population that must be considered when collecting data?

[https://health.mo.gov/data/InterventionMICA/AssessmentPrioritization\\_5.html](https://health.mo.gov/data/InterventionMICA/AssessmentPrioritization_5.html)




# Approach our work with community centeredness— understanding context

---

We can ask the following types of questions:


- What are the community's beliefs about health and related risk factors?
- What traditions and norms exist in the community?
- What are some perceived causes for prominent health issues in the community?
- What are the community demographics and trends?
- What are the community's specific interests, needs, and assets?



---

Who are some of the audiences you share information with?

What are the important characteristics you need to consider to communicate with them?



---


How can we use quantitative & qualitative data to identify and describe health disparities?



# What is Disaggregated Data?

## Can we better describe the situation?


- ◆ Data that has been separated into detailed sub-categories or sub-populations.



# Disaggregate it!

## What is Disaggregated data?

Stratify data by factor(s) of interest



State of Iowa Measure - 2015-2019

Infant Mortality (Per 1,000 Live Births)	4.55
--	------




Disaggregated by Ethnicity

State of Iowa Infant Mortality by Ethnicity - 2015-2019


Ethnicity	Infant Mortality (Per 1,000 Live Births)
Hispanic	6.47
Non-Hispanic	4.39

Ethnicity  
■ Hispanic  
■ Non-Hispanic

State of Iowa Infant Mortality by Ethnicity - 2015-2019



<https://tracking.idph.iowa.gov/People-Community/Reproduction-and-Birth/Infant-Mortality/Infant-Mortality-Data>



# Benefits of Disaggregated Data

---


Problem detection

Identification of data patterns - trends and comparisons

Increase the understanding of a population's characteristics

Understand health barriers and assets in the community

Identify which groups could benefit from resources and tailored intervention




# Example: CRC Screening

- What conclusions do you make about who is at risk for not being adequately screened for CRC?
- What actions would you take?

Iowa - 2022  
Respondents aged 45-75 who have fully met the USPSTF recommendation (variable calculated from one or more BRFSS questions) (Crude Prevalence)

View by: Age Group

Response: ( All )



Data Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

# Where/How Do We Get Disaggregated Data?


---

- Survey Data
- Small Area Estimates
  - We often think of SAEs for county level estimates of data collected at the state level, but SAEs can also be calculated for demographic subgroups.
  - Small area estimates are calculated using statistical methods that allow different data sources to be combined
- Geographic Information Systems (GIS/Mapping)



# Intersectionality and Health


- **Intersectionality** is an analytical framework for understanding how different aspects of a person's identity can influence their risk of different health outcomes, exposures to risk factors, and barriers that they may face in achieving good health.



# Public Health Data Resources

- To use publicly available data resources to inform public health practice and advance health equity

## Breast Cancer Incidence by Age, GA 2020



**CDC Wonder**

# Public Health Data Resources

Iowa - 2021


Consumed vegetables less than one time per day (variable calculated from one or more BRFSS questions) (Crude Prevalence)

View by: Overall

Response: ( All )

	One or more times per day	Less than one time per day
Percent (%)	77.0	23.0
95% CI	75.8 - 78.2	21.8 - 24.2
n	6546	2004

Data Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)



Data Source: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)



# Some final things to think about when using public health data to advance health equity

---

- Accuracy of the data
  - Credible source? Valid and Reliable measures?
- Completeness
  - Include all cases/events? Data elements missing?
- Representativeness
  - Does the data include all of the population of interest?



# Small Group Activity

Colorectal cancer (CRC) has been a significant health concern in Iowa. We know that the incidence of early onset CRC has been increasing across the US. One area of focus is the relatively lower rates of CRC screening among various groups in the population.

Using the data available in the data packet on the Resources page, answer the following questions:

- What is the overall rate of your outcome of interest?
- What health disparities can you identify?
- What data gaps exist for your outcome of interest? (e.g. lack of disaggregated data; important data on contributing factors not available; data not recent or not at geographical level of interest)




# Debrief




---

How do we interpret data on health disparities/inequities for actionable impact?




# Systems Thinking in Public Health



**Figure 3.** Colorectal Cancer Control Program simplified logic model.

# Addressing the Health Inequity Pathway: Groundwater, Upstream, Midstream, and Downstream



Sources:  
Initiative


BCHAP SDOH Interventions Framework and Moving Massachusetts Upstream (MassUP) Conceptual Framework, both adapted from the Bay Area Regional Health Inequities White paper on "The Groundwater Approach: building a practical understanding of structural racism" by Bayard Love and Deena Hayes-Greene of the Racial Equity Institute

Inequities

*Graphic courtesy of Bay Area Regional Health Inequities Initiative*

# Tools to Understand the Root Causes



Figure 3. Fishbone Diagram of Root Causes of Poor Blood Glucose Control



Fishbone Diagram



# Tools to Understand the Root Causes




# Activity: Systems Thinking in Public Health—5 Whys Tool

---

## Rising Rates of Colorectal Cancer in a Community (screening example)

1. Why are colorectal cancer cases increasing?



# Systems Thinking in Public Health—5 Whys Tool

---

## **Rising Rates of Colorectal Cancer in a Community (screening example)**

1. Why are colorectal cancer cases increasing?
  - a. Fewer people are getting regular colorectal cancer screenings.
2. Why are fewer people getting regular screenings?



# Systems Thinking in Public Health—5 Whys Tool

---

## **Rising Rates of Colorectal Cancer in a Community (screening example)**

1. Why are colorectal cancer cases increasing?
  - a. Fewer people are getting regular colorectal cancer screenings.
2. Why are fewer people getting regular screenings?
  - a. Many individuals lack access to healthcare services or are unaware of the need for regular screenings.
3. Why do people lack access to healthcare or awareness?




# Systems Thinking in Public Health—5 Whys Tool

## Rising Rates of Colorectal Cancer in a Community (screening example)

1. Why are colorectal cancer cases increasing?
  - a. Fewer people are getting regular colorectal cancer screenings.
2. Why are fewer people getting regular screenings?
  - a. Many individuals lack access to healthcare services or are unaware of the need for regular screenings.
3. Why do people lack access to healthcare or awareness?
  - a. There are barriers such as cost, limited healthcare facilities in certain regions, and a lack of educational outreach regarding cancer prevention.
4. Why are there barriers to healthcare and education?
  - a. Public health infrastructure in certain communities is underfunded, and there is inadequate emphasis on cancer screening programs and awareness campaigns.
5. Why are the public health infrastructure underfunded and screening programs insufficient?
  - a. Limited resources so other healthcare needs may be prioritized, and policies may not focus enough on preventive care, especially in underserved areas.

Using this method allows us to drill down to the underlying or root causes of the health issue!



# Common **misconceptions** about how we can achieve positive health outcomes for all people.

- ACCESS=EQUITY Access to health care and insurance coverage are the most important factors to good health.
- We can achieve positive health outcomes for all by providing equal access to health care/resources to all people
- Some groups have worse outcomes because they make poor choices, don't take of themselves, or are non-compliant...
- Health disparities are based solely on race.
- Disparities only occur in urban settings.
- Socio-economic data is just more noise in an already data-overloaded world



# How can we communicate the importance of equity vs. equality?

- Why should we even care that there are disparities/inequities? Why not just intervene with everyone to try and improve population rates?
- What is the value of improving outcomes among marginalized/disadvantaged groups?
- Is it enough to give everyone an intervention or is it more effective to give each group the intervention that it needs/that is culturally relevant?
- Some might say that given the great diversity of the US it makes sense that there are differences between population groups and we can't do anything about it—what is your response?



The Sum of Us: What Racism Costs Everyone and How We Can Prosper Together by Health McGhee



# Debrief and Final Thoughts

---

- Disaggregating data helps us understand our community and any issues of health inequity
- One size does not fit all – disaggregating our data gives us the evidence
- Storytelling allows us to share high impact data effectively
- Use short, concise, data-driven messages with visualizations!
- The story doesn't end with the data, understanding the context and drivers of health lead to solutions.
- Health equity = social justice




# THANK YOU!



# References

---

- Terlizzi, E. P. (2019). Reported importance and access to health care providers who understand or share cultural characteristics with their patients among adults, by race and ethnicity. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (n.d.). *Cultural Competence In Health and Human Services*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://npin.cdc.gov/pages/cultural-competence>.
- HEDA: Conducting a Health EQUITY data Analysis: A guide for local health departments in Minnesota. (n.d.). Retrieved February 20, 2021, from <https://www.health.state.mn.us/data/mchs/genstats/heda/index.html>
- <https://www.rwjf.org/en/library/research/2010/01/a-new-way-to-talk-about-the-social-determinants-of-health.html>
- *Improving Cultural Competence to Reduce Health Disparities for Priority Populations*. Effective Health Care Program. (2014, July 8). <https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/cultural-competence/research-protocol>.



# References

---

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017, September 7). Public Health 3.0: A Call to Action for Public Health to Meet the Challenges of the 21st Century. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [https://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2017/17\\_0017.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2017/17_0017.htm).
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021, March 18). *CDC - 10 Essential Public Health Services - CSTLTS*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://www.cdc.gov/publichealthgateway/publichealthservices/essentialhealthservices.html>.
- *Equity vs Equality*. nwhu.on.ca. (n.d.). <https://www.nwhu.on.ca/ourservices/Pages/Equity-vs-Equality.aspx>.
- Jones, N. L., Gilman, S. E., Cheng, T. L., Drury, S. S., Hill, C. V., & Geronimus, A. T. (2019, January). *Life Course Approaches to the Causes of Health Disparities*. American journal of public health. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6356123/>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020, October 23). *CDC - Social Determinants of Health - STLT Gateway*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://www.cdc.gov/publichealthgateway/sdoh/index.html>.
- World Health Organization. (2010). A conceptual framework for action on the social determinants of health: debates, policy & practice, case studies.
- Committee on Educating Health Professionals to Address the Social Determinants of Health. (2016, October 14). *Frameworks for Addressing the Social Determinants of Health*. A Framework for Educating Health Professionals to Address the Social Determinants of Health. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK395979/>.
- HEDA: Conducting a Health EQUITY data Analysis: A guide for local health departments in Minnesota. (n.d.). Retrieved February 20, 2021, from <https://www.health.state.mn.us/data/mchs/genstats/heda/index.html>
- *Transforming Perception*. Perception Institute. (2017, May 17). <http://perception.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Transforming-Perception.pdf>



**IOWA**

Institute for Public Health Practice, Research and Policy

---

**Thank you!**

[anjali-deshpande@uiowa.edu](mailto:anjali-deshpande@uiowa.edu)

[vickie-miene@uiowa.edu](mailto:vickie-miene@uiowa.edu)

[abigail-stock@uiowa.edu](mailto:abigail-stock@uiowa.edu)

# Menti.com

